



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,079	09/19/2003	Brent O'Meagher	TRMB1400 8440		
WAGNER. MI	7590 04/03/2007 URABITO & HAO LLP	EXAMINER			
Third Floor		SHEDRICK, CHARLES TERRELL			
Two North Ma San Jose, CA 9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2617		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Charles Shedrick A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Charles Shedrick Shows the mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, he mailing date of his communication. Status Responsive to communication (s) filed on <i>QB January 2007</i> . 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	Office Action Summary		10/666,07	9	O'MEAGHER, BRENT				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = ceriod for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estanciaso of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after \$X \text{ of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.} of 37 CFR 1.13(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after \$X \text{ of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.} after the major with the set or certified period for right, but by statute, cause the application is cosemic BANADONEO (50 this C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared paperful than adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(4). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on \$\text{ of Balantary 2007}\$. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) \$\frac{1.30}{1.30}\$ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \$\frac{1.30}{1.30}\$ is/are ellowed. 5) □ Claim(s) \$\frac{1.30}{1.30}\$ is/are ellowed. 6) □ Claim(s) \$\frac{1.30}{1.30}\$ is/are ellowed. 6) □ Claim(s) \$\frac{1.30}{1.30}\$ is/are ellowed. 7) □ Claim(s) \$\frac{1.30}{1.30}\$ is/are ellowed. 8p) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filled on \$\frac{19}{1.30}\$ selected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the altached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 10 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3			Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extension of time may be available wide the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be simply the dired by the communication of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be dired by the Office Indoor, the maximum studiory period will apply and will expire (k) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended pendent done done done that the mailing date of this communication. Search plant from subjective to the Month and the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office Indoor, the maximum stands are the mailing date of this communication. Search plant from subjective to communication (s) filed on 08 January 2007. 2a) Search of this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * C) Mone of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents hav	•		Charles Sh	edrick	2617				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHIGHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be availated used the previous of 30° RT 11360°, in not event, however, may a regly to be intelly filled in the processor of 30° RT 11360°, in not event, however, may a regly to be intelly filled in 100 period for regly is pecified above, the maximum statedory period will apply and will acuse SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to regly which the set or evented period for righy is greated period to righy it. by statuke, cause the application (5) 610 SL 5, 133). Any regly received by the Office later than these mainting date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any search period time adjustment. Set 30° RE 1,7490°, 2012 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is fill the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) Cacepted or b) Displected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All by Cacepter of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extendinos from may be swildled under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. A proper received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any same patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). **Responsive to communication(s) filed on **DB January 2007.** 2a) ▼ This action is FINAL. 2b) ▼ This action is non-flinal. 3) ▼ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) ▼ Claim(s) **J.32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) **_is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ▼ Claim(s) **_is/are allowed. 6) ▼ Claim(s) **_is/are allowed. 6) ▼ Claim(s) **_is/are allowed. 7) ▼ Claim(s) **is/are objected to. 8) ▼ Claim(s) **		··							
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 January 2007</u> . 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 September 2003</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 								
2a ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status								
2a ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 08 January 2007	7.					
3	,								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,—	,	allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
Alphication of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•—	,— , ,							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4) 🖂								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on September_2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•								
6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5)	,							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•	· · -			•	·.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•		and/or election re	equirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Applicati	on Papers							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on 19 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		•		ccepted or b) object	ted to by the Exar	miner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		•		· .					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	a)[,—							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		•							
, <u> </u>	Attachment(s)								
2) L. I. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948). Paper NO(S)/Wall Date	, <u> </u>								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/8/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the Applicant's remarks dated 1/08/07, The Applicant argues that the Robbins reference does not teach, describe, or suggest, "transmitting said data message from said base station to a mobile position determination unit using a radio transmitter independent of said cellular telephone connection, wherein said base station may be moved about within said designated region while performing said transmitting". Instead, per Applicant's understanding the Robbins reference is silent regarding such a limitation. As such, Applicant submits that Claim 1 is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by the Robbins reference.

- 1. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually as noted above (1), one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- 2. Regarding the Applicant's remarks dated 1/08/07, The Applicant argues that the Friedman method teaches away from the present invention.
- 3. In response to the Applicant arguments the Examiner respectfully disagree. The feature absent in Robbins and taught by Friedman is a Radio transmitter independent of the cellular connection. Both references teach a desire to improve location systems that include GPS technology. Friedman further improves the GPS system by using a Radio transmitter independent of the cellular connection.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,079 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

4. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, there is an overall desirability of both references to improve location systems the use GPS technology.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robbins (U.S.

Patent Pub #2002/0198657 A1) in view of Friedman (W0 01/50151 A1) and further in view of

Gavrilovich US Patent No.: 5,729,826

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 1, Robbins teaches a method for delivering Virtual Reference Station data (VRS) data derived by a VRS network processor 105 subsystem (figure 1) at a VRS control station 105 (figure 1) for a designated location to a mobile position determination unit 115 (figure 1) with a terrestrial communication link, said method comprising: creating a data message comprising pseudorange data derived for said designated location and pseudorange correction for a designated region surrounding said designated location (paragraph 0054); sending said data message via a cellular telephone connection from said VRS control station to a base station located in the designated region surrounding said designated location to a mobile position determination unit using a radio transmitter (paragraphs 0058 and 0064)(i.e., the distribution system 110 of figure 1 can comprise of delivery media to GSM telephones. GSM networks are well known in the art to consist of base stations, transmitters, etc. Based on the transmission mediums that are inherent in a GSM network the above stated transmitting can be accomplished).

However, Robbins does not specifically teach using a radio transmitter independent of said cellular telephone connection.

In the same field of endeavor, Friedman teaches using a radio transmitter independent of said cellular telephone connection (page 13 lines 18 – 23, page 14 line 24 – page 15 line 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to modify Robbins to include a radio transmitter independent of a cellular phone connection for the purpose of substituting other connection types as taught by Friedman. Friedman also discusses additional reasons for an independent connection on pages 4-7.

Art Unit: 2617

However, Robbins as modified by Friedman does not specifically wherein a base station may be moved about with said designated region while performing said transmitting.

In the same field of endeavor, Gavrilovich teaches wherein a base station may be moved about with said designated region while performing said transmitting (i.e., see at least col. 2 line 60 – col.3 line 33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Robbins as further modified by Friedman to include wherein a base station may be moved about with said designated region while performing said transmitting for the purpose of accommodating other mobile units within a geographic area based on mobility as taught by Gavrilovich.

Consider claim 2, and as applied to claim 1 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a method wherein said VRS control center receives a request for said Virtual Reference Station data and further comprising: deriving the pseudorange data and pseudorange corrections in response to said request (i.e. The declared location of a mobile unit)(paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 3, and as applied to claim 2 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a method wherein receiving said request from said base station (i.e. using a GSM mobile network as the distribution system it is clear that the request must traverse or originate in some way, shape or form involving a base station. The basic functionality of a BTS is to receive and transmit)(paragraph 0058 and 0064).

Consider claim 4, and as applied to claim 3 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches the method of initiating said request in response to

Art Unit: 2617

receiving a message (i.e., varying message types)(paragraph 0094 and 0095) from said mobile position determination unit receiving said request from said mobile position determination unit 115 (figure 1) (i.e., an integrated GSM phone requests positioning data via the GSM network and DS delivers network correction streams via the GSM DS network)(paragraph 0060,0061,0062).

Consider claim 5, and as applied to claim 2 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches the method of receiving said request from said mobile position determination unit 115 (figure 1)(paragraph 0060); establishing said cellular telephone connection with base station (i.e., if distributed via a GSM network using a GSM cellular telephone)(paragraph 0060-0064); and requesting a position fix of said designated location (i.e., The declared location can be provided from a GPS fix determined by the mobile unit 115 or can be supplied by user input) (paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 6, and as applied to claim 1 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches the method of utilizing a global positioning systems (GPS) receiver to determine a position fix of said designated (i.e., the declared location can be provided from a GPS fix determined by the mobile unit 115 or can be supplied by user input) (paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 7, and as applied to claim 6 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches the method wherein said GPS receiver is disposed in said mobile position determination unit 120 (i.e., a fully integrated mobile receiver as described in paragraph 0062-0065 and shown in figures 1 and 18) and wherein said method further comprises:

Art Unit: 2617

locating said mobile position determination unit 115 proximate to said base station (i.e., a mobile unit has a functionality equivalent to having a reference station at the current location of the mobile unit or at the declared location near (proximate) the mobile unit) (paragraph 0060).

Utilizing said mobile position determination unit to determine said position fix (paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 8, and as applied to claim 6 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches the method wherein said base station comprises a real-time kinematics (RTK) base station and wherein said method further comprises: communicatively coupling said radio transmitter with a cellular communications device (i.e., using the Trimble Navigation limited products disclosed by Robbins to obtain GPS corrections for RTK, you need your own base station that is no more than ten kilometers from the field you are working in. Trimble Navigation limited further states that for DGPS, you can use your own base station, a correction service provider, or make use of the free radio beacon broadcasts in many regions. Therefore in conjunction with a GSM network system for cellular distribution Robbins clearly describes the method above) (paragraph 0062-0067).

Consider claim 10 and as applied to claim 1 above Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a method wherein said transmitting (i.e., via the Distribution System DS 110) comprises selecting a frequency from a group of frequency ranges consisting of 150 MHz – 170 MHz and 450 MHz – 470 MHz (i.e., VHF/ UHF support the data transfer rates needed 120 bits per second or better)(paragraph 0058).

Consider claim 11 Robbins teaches a system (figure 1) for delivering Virtual Reference

Station (VRS) data comprising: a VRS control station 105 (figure 1) for creating a data message

Art Unit: 2617

comprising pseudorange data derived for said designated location and pseudorange corrections for a designated region surrounding said designated location (paragraph 0054);

a base station located in said designated region surrounding said designated location, said base station for receiving said data message from said VRS control center 105 (figure 1) via a cellular telephone (i.e., GSM phone) (paragraph 0058) and for transmitting said data message using a radio transmitter (i.e., it is well known that GSM networks are equipped with Base station transceiver BTS with a fundamental duty of receiving and transmitting. The distribution system 110 of figure 1 can comprise of delivery media to GSM telephones. GSM networks are well known in the art to consist of base stations, transmitters, etc.) (paragraphs 0058 and 0064);

and a mobile positioning determination unit 115 (figure 1) for receiving said data message from said base station (paragraphs 0054-0061).

However, Robbins does not specifically teach using a radio transmitter independent of said cellular telephone connection.

In the same field of endeavor, Friedman teaches using a radio transmitter independent of said cellular telephone connection (page 13 lines 18 – 23, page 14 line 24 – page 15 line 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to modify Robbins to include a radio transmitter independent of a cellular phone connection for the purpose of substituting other connection types as taught by Friedman. Friedman also discusses additional reasons for an independent connection on pages 4-7.

However, Robbins as modified by Friedman does not specifically wherein a base station may be moved about with said designated region while performing said transmitting.

Art Unit: 2617

In the same field of endeavor, Gavrilovich teaches wherein a base station may be moved about with said designated region while performing said transmitting (i.e., see at least col. 2 line 60 – col.3 line 33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Robbins as further modified by Friedman to include wherein a base station may be moved about with said designated region while performing said transmitting for the purpose of accommodating other mobile units within a geographic area based on mobility as taught by Gavrilovich.

Consider claim 12, and as applied to claim 11 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a system wherein said VRS control center derives the pseudorange data and pseudorange corrections in response to a request for the VRS data (i.e. The declared location of a mobile unit)(paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 13, and as applied to claim 12 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a system wherein said base station initiates said request (i.e. using a GSM mobile network as the distribution system it is clear that the request must traverse or originate in some way, shape or form involving a base station. It is well known that the basic functionality of a BTS is to receive and transmit)(paragraph 0058 and 0064).

Consider claim 14, and as applied to claim 13 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a system wherein said base station initiates said request in response to receiving a message (i.e., varying message types)(paragraph 0094 and 0095) from said mobile position determination unit 115 (figure 1) (i.e., an integrated GSM

Art Unit: 2617

phone requests positioning data via the GSM network and DS delivers network correction streams via the GSM DS network)(paragraph 0060,0061,0062).

Consider claim 15, and as applied to claim 12 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a system wherein the VRS Control center 105 (figure 1) receiving said request from said mobile position determination unit 115 (figure 1)(paragraph 0060) and establishing said cellular telephone connection with base station (i.e., if distributed via a GSM network using a GSM cellular telephone)(paragraph 0060-0064); to request a position fix of said designated location (i.e., The declared location can be provided from a GPS fix determined by the mobile unit 115 or can be supplied by user input) (paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 16, and as applied to claim 11 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a system comprising a global positioning system (GPS) receiver to determine a position fix of said designated (i.e., the declared location can be provided from a GPS fix determined by the mobile unit 115 or can be supplied by user input) (paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 17, and as applied to claim 16 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a system wherein said GPS receiver is disposed in said mobile position determination unit 120 (i.e., a fully integrated mobile receiver as described in paragraph 0062-0065 and shown in figures 1 and 18).

Consider claim 18, and as applied to claim 16 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a system wherein said base station comprises a real-time kinematics (RTK) base station and wherein said radio transmitter is communicatively

Art Unit: 2617

coupled with a cellular device (i.e., using the Trimble Navigation limited products disclosed by Robbins to obtain GPS corrections for RTK, you need your own base station that is no more than ten kilometers from the field you are working in. Trimble Navigation limited further states that for DGPS, you can use your own base station, a correction service provider, or make use of the free radio beacon broadcasts in many regions. Therefore in conjunction with a GSM network system for cellular distribution Robbins clearly describes the method above) (paragraph 0062-0067).

Consider claim 20 and as applied to claim 11 above Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a system wherein said radio transmitter (i.e., via the Distribution System DS 110) transmits said data message at a frequency selected from a group of frequency ranges consisting of 150 MHz – 170 MHz and 450 MHz – 470 MHz (i.e., VHF/ UHF support the data transfer rates needed 120 bits per second or better)(paragraph 0058)

Consider claim 21 Robbins teaches a method for delivering Virtual Reference Station data (VRS) data comprising: a plurality of reference stations 105 (i.e., RS1-RSN) (abstract, paragraph 0049, and figure 1) to derive pseudorange data for said designated location and pseudorange correction for a designated region surrounding said designated location (paragraph 0054); sending a data message comprising pseudorange data and pseudorange corrections to a base station via a cellular telephone network, and wherein said base station is located in said designated region surrounding said designated location(i.e., to obtain GPS corrections for RTK, you need your own base station that is no more than ten kilometers from the field you are working in)(paragraphs 0058 and 0064); and transmitting said data message from said base station to a mobile position determination unit located in said designated region surrounding said

designated location using a radio transmitter(paragraphs 0058 and 0064)(i.e., the distribution system 110 of figure 1 can comprise of delivery media to GSM telephones. GSM networks are well known in the art to consist of base stations, transmitters, etc.)

However, Robbins does not specifically teach using a radio transmitter independent of said cellular telephone connection.

In the same field of endeavor, Friedman teaches using a radio transmitter independent of said cellular telephone connection (page 13 lines 18 – 23, page 14 line 24 – page 15 line 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to modify Robbins to include a radio transmitter independent of a cellular phone connection for the purpose of substituting other connection types as taught by Friedman. Friedman also discusses additional reasons for an independent connection on pages 4-7.

However, Robbins as modified by Friedman does not specifically wherein a base station may be moved about with said designated region while performing said transmitting.

In the same field of endeavor, Gavrilovich teaches wherein a base station may be moved about with said designated region while performing said transmitting (i.e., see at least col. 2 line 60 – col.3 line 33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Robbins as further modified by Friedman to include wherein a base station may be moved about with said designated region while performing said transmitting for the purpose of accommodating other mobile units within a geographic area based on mobility as taught by Gavrilovich.

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 22, and as applied to claim 21 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a method wherein said VRS control center receives a request for said Virtual Reference Station data and further comprising: deriving the pseudorange data and pseudorange corrections in response to said request (i.e. The declared location of a mobile unit)(paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 23, and as applied to claim 22 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich discloses a method wherein receiving said request from said base station (i.e. using a GSM mobile network as the distribution system it is clear that the request must traverse or originate in some way, shape or form involving a base station. The basic functionality of a BTS is to receive and transmit)(paragraph 0058 and 0064).

Consider claim 24, and as applied to claim 23 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich discloses the method of initiating said request in response to receiving a message (i.e., varying message types)(paragraph 0094 and 0095) from said mobile position determination unit receiving said request from said mobile position determination unit 115 (figure 1) (i.e., an integrated GSM phone requests positioning data via the GSM network and DS delivers network correction streams via the GSM DS network)(paragraph 0060,0061,0062).

Consider claim 25, and as applied to claim 22 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches the method of receiving said request from said mobile position determination unit 115 (figure 1)(paragraph 0060); establishing said cellular telephone connection with base station (i.e., if distributed via a GSM network using a GSM cellular telephone)(paragraph 0060-0064); and requesting a position fix of said designated

Art Unit: 2617

location (i.e., The declared location can be provided from a GPS fix determined by the mobile unit 115 or can be supplied by user input) (paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 26, and as applied to claim 21 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches the method of utilizing a global positioning systems (GPS) receiver to determine a position fix of said designated (i.e., the declared location can be provided from a GPS fix determined by the mobile unit 115 or can be supplied by user input) (paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 27, and as applied to claim 26 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman teaches the method wherein said GPS receiver is disposed in said mobile position determination unit 120 (i.e., a fully integrated mobile receiver as described in paragraph 0062-0065 and shown in figures 1 and 18) and wherein said method further comprises:

locating said mobile position determination unit 115 proximate to said base station (i.e., a mobile unit has a functionality equivalent to having a reference station at the current location of the mobile unit or at the declared location near (proximate) the mobile unit) (paragraph 0060).

Utilizing said mobile position determination unit to determine said position fix (paragraph 0060).

Consider claim 28, and as applied to claim 26 above, Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches the method wherein said base station comprises a real-time kinematics (RTK) base station and wherein said method further comprises: communicatively coupling said radio transmitter with a cellular communications device (i.e., using the Trimble Navigation limited products disclosed by Robbins to obtain GPS corrections for RTK, you need your own base station that is no more than ten kilometers from the field you

Art Unit: 2617

are working in. Trimble Navigation limited further states that for DGPS, you can use your own base station, a correction service provider, or make use of the free radio beacon broadcasts in many regions. Therefore in conjunction with a GSM network system for cellular distribution Robbins clearly describes the method above) (paragraph 0062-0067).

Consider claim 30 and as applied to claim 21 above Robbins as modified by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich teaches a method wherein said transmitting (i.e., via the Distribution System DS 110) comprises selecting a frequency from a group of frequency ranges consisting of 150 MHz – 170 MHz and 450 MHz – 470 MHz (i.e., VHF/ UHF support the data transfer rates needed 120 bits per second or better)(paragraph 0058).

Consider claims 9,19,and 29 and as applied to claims 8,18,and 28. Robbins clearly disclose the claimed method and system.

However, Robbins does not clearly disclose the method and system wherein said radio transmitter comprises a Bluetooth communications device.

In the same field of endeavor, Friedman as modified by Gavrilovich discloses a radio transmitter 54(figure 6) 75 (figure 7) comprises a Bluetooth communications device 70 (figure 7), and wherein said method further comprises sending a data message to said mobile position determination unit 50 (i.e., mobile DSP)(figure 7) using said Bluetooth communication device (figure 7).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a Bluetooth communication device in a transmitter as taught by Friedman and further modified by Gavrilovich in the method and systems of Robbins for the

Art Unit: 2617

purpose of improving location determination in areas that are not covered by other wireless means.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Shedrick whose telephone number is (571)-272-8621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kincaid Lester can be reached on (571)-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles Shedrick AU 2617 March 30, 2007

LESTER G. KINCAID